

To:
From:
Sent: Thur 5/26/2016 12:13:02 PM
Subject: Re: Quick question

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Follow up

Do we expect that this would be the only well impacted by PFOA/PFOS - should the system do more testing beyond what was done by UCMR?

Thanks

Jane

Sent from my iPhone

On May 26, 2016, at 5:53 AM, Crouse, Roger <**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**> wrote:

Here is the statement provided by Sanford's Superintendent:

Norman reviewed the old monthly sheets and found that the last time we truly used it was October of 1999. We may have pumped into the system for a day or two here and there either for sampling purposes or possibly even to help out on a hot day, but the last time we can find that we ran it as a source of supply into the system is October 1999. That makes sense, as that is the year that our Country Club 2 well came online. We probably did not need to use it to meet demand after that.

The current status is as a backup source of supply for use only in emergency situations.

David Parent

Roger Crouse

Program Manager

Office of Licensing and Regulatory Services

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention – Preserve ~ Promote ~ Protect

-----Original Message-----

From: Downing, Jane [<mailto:Downing.Jane@epa.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2016 12:12 PM

To: Crouse, Roger

Subject: Quick question

Hi Roger

Just checking my facts-

Can you confirm that Sanford ME closed the well with elevated PFOA/PFOS and that the other wells are not contaminated. When did that happen?

Just need to report out on steps taken by utilities.

Have a good day

Jane

Sent from my iPhone